Annex 2c: Pupil premium strategy statement (primary)

1. Summary information						
School	St Luke's	St Luke's CE Primary School				
Academic Year	2019/20	Total PP budget	£45,780	Date of most recent PP Review	Oct.19	
Total number of pupils	198	Number of pupils eligible for PP	33	Date for next internal review of this strategy	Jan 20	

		Pupils eligible for PP (your	Pupils not eligible for PP			
		school)	(national average)			
		Schoolj	(national average)			
% ach	ieving Level 4b or above in reading, writing & maths (or equivalent)	60%	70			
% ma	king at least 2 levels of progress in reading (or equivalent)	100%	92%			
% ma	king at least 2 levels of progress in writing (or equivalent)	100%	95%			
% ma	king at least 2 levels of progress in maths (or equivalent)	100%	91%			
3. Ba	arriers to future attainment (for pupils eligible for PP)					
In-sch	ool barriers (issues to be addressed in school, such as poor oral languag	ie skills)				
Α.	Oral language skills are lower for pupils eligible for PP than other pupils. This can slow reading in future years.					
В.	Pupils are not always heard read at home as often.					
С.	Lack of experiences can impact on pupls ability to use infurence in reading.					
D.	Attachement difficulties are prevelent in our PP children					
E	kternal barriers (issues which also require action outside school, such as	low attendance rates)				
E.	Attendance is in line with other pupils.					
4. C	Desired outcomes (Desired outcomes and how they will be measured)	ess criteria				
Α.	Improved progress in reading for pupils eligible for PP 100% elig		eligible pupils make expected progress			
В.	Improved phonic outcomes for pupils eligible for PP Good progress for all pupils					
C.	Improved maths outcomes 100% of eligible pupils to make expected progress					

D.					
5. Planned exper	nditure				
Academic year	2019/20				
0	below enable schoo d support whole sch	ols to demonstrate how they are un lool strategies	ising the Pupil Premium to imp	rove classroom	n pedagogy, provide
i. Quality of teac	hing for all				
Desired outcome	Chosen action / approach	What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?	How will you ensure it is implemented well?	Staff lead	When will you review implementation?
All pupils can read with inference.	Reciprocal Reading embedded	EEF showed a 5+ month gain from this type of approach.	Regular discussions about it.	JM	July 20
All children have a wide range of books	Widen reading scheme	Using one scheme limits our pupils experiences.	New scheme embedded.	EH	July 20
			Total b	udgeted cost	£6,377
ii. Targeted supp	ort				
Desired outcome	Chosen action / approach	What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?	How will you ensure it is implemented well?	Staff lead	When will you review implementation?
Younger children's oral skills improved.	Talk Boost	EEF moderate impact for low cost in the short term. Long term greater gains.	Well trained staff working and reviewing together. Clearly assessed and targeted pupils.	JE	Feb and July 20
Key Stage 1 & lower Ks2 Phonic Skills improved.	Phonic support groups. Project X	EEF moderate impact for low cost. Will address area of weakness identified for PP pupils.	Short interventions which are evaluated.	EH	Dec 19, March & July 20
Punctuation & Grammar catch up	Small focus group	EEF moderate impact for low cost.	Short interventions which are evaluated.	JL	Dec 19, March & July 20

			Total bu	dgeted cost	£4,000
All children comfortable and able to learn.	KS2 fruit supplied to all PP pupils	KS2 children have to pay for fruit we want all children to have access to a healthy snack at morning break.	Office staff know about it and ensure it happens.	AL	July 20
All children have access to wide experiences	No child denied access to any trips for financial reasons.	We want all children to access trips some parents have said a child cannot go due to cost.	All staff know about it. There is a statement on all trip letters.	AL	July 20
Desired outcome	Chosen action / approach	What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?	How will you ensure it is implemented well?	Staff lead	When will you review implementation?
iii. Other approac	hes		Total bu	dgeted cost	£35,469
Children secure happy learners.	Nurture Group.	EEF moderate impact for moderate cost. Experience of previous work in this area.	Carefully targeted children. Regular reviews.	СВ	Dec 19, March & July
Children's vocabulary extended	Oral communication workshops	EEF moderate impact for low cost.	Employ accredited teacher and evaluate regularly	AL	Jan & July 20
Maths catch up and extension for more able	Small focus groups. Success at arithmetic.	EEF moderate impact for low cost. Impact of work done last year.	Short interventions which are evaluated.	CB	Dec 19, March & July 20

6. Review of expenditure								
Previous Academi	Previous Academic Year							
i. Quality of teac	i. Quality of teaching for all							
Desired outcome	Chosen action / approach	Estimated impact: Did you meet the success criteria? Include impact on pupils not eligible for PP, if appropriate.	Lessons learned (and whether you will continue with this approach)	Cost				

Improve spelling, especially PP pupils	Implement new scheme	All children's spelling improved. Our average spelling score was above the National average. All children made at least expected progress in writing.	We will continue with this approach as it is working.	£2000
Introduce Cracking Comprehension	Scheme bought, staff trained.	Reading for inference improved particularly amongst the younger children. The Pupil Premium children made more progress in reading than other children.	We will continue implementing changes.	£1074
ii. Targeted supp	ort	1	1	
Desired outcome	Chosen action / approach	Estimated impact: Did you meet the success criteria? Include impact on pupils not eligible for PP, if appropriate.	Lessons learned (and whether you will continue with this approach)	Cost
Improve maths outcomes for PP pupils	Success at arithmetic. More able target group.	All pupils made at least 4+ months progress in 6 weeks. Increase in confidence though no PP children achieved a more than expected level at end of KS2.	We will continue. We will continue but increase the focus on confidence with problem solving.	£20000
Improve PP pupils wellbeing	Nurture Group	Children enjoy group. Staff report children more able to learn.	Having shorter interventions with tighter focus on evaluation has been beneficial. Will continue	£7500
Children's oral language skills improved	Talk Boost	Pupils undertaking this improved their speaking and listening skills.	We will continue this and add in oral communication work with more able.	£10500
iii. Other approac	hes			
Desired outcome	Chosen action / approach	Estimated impact: Did you meet the success criteria? Include impact on pupils not eligible for PP, if appropriate.	Lessons learned (and whether you will continue with this approach)	Cost
Children have access to wider opportunities	Contribute to music lesson.	More able PP pupil able to continue learning an instrument.	Needs to be targeted at individuals who would benefit.	£200

All children to be able to go on trips.	Subsidise trips	All children were able to attend trips.	Will continue	£2500
All Children to have their basic needs met.	Fruit for KS2 PP pupils.	Hard to measure but children not reporting being hungry.	Will continue.	£500

7. Additional detail

In this section you can annex or refer to **additional** information which you have used to support the sections above.

We have also taken the Pupil Premium children on targeted trips such as to London to the Houses of Parliament and to Manchester University to gifted and talented subject events.